

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Alex Klose <aklose@eltownhall.com>

To: Tim MAY <mayengineering@sbcglobal.net>

Cc: Paul Geraghty <pgeraghty@geraghtybonnano.com>; Casey, John P <jcasey@rc.com>; Gary Goeschel <ggoeschel@eltownhall.com>; Jennifer Lindo <jlindo@eltownhall.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021, 11:38:38 AM EST

Subject: RE: Address comment #4

Hi Tim,

Thank you for clarifying this last item and ensuring each area cover type is accounted for in the model. I have no more questions and will issue my final comments/recommendations for Gary and the Commission's consideration shortly.

Thank you,

Alex

From: Tim MAY <mayengineering@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:26 AM

To: Alex Klose <aklose@eltownhall.com>

Cc: Paul Geraghty <pgeraghty@geraghtybonnano.com>

Subject: Address comment #4

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Alex Klose,

Per our conversation yesterday, i reviewed and revised the HydroCad CN values for Lawns and grass from 58 to 61.

The three CN values for the paved areas, roofs/rain gardens and the lawns have a weighted CN value of 64.7 which is similar to the HydroCad CN value of 65 for 2 ac developed parcels.

Both Area A & B produced very similar peak runoff values along with flow volumes.

"WW"