

Jennifer Lindo

From: Gary Goeschel
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 12:14 PM
To: Jennifer Lindo
Subject: Fwd: To the Inlands Wetland Agency and Chairman Gary Upton

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "R. Ambrico" <rjarma66@99main.com>
Date: July 13, 2020 at 8:49:18 AM EDT
To: Gary Goeschel <ggoeschel@eltownhall.com>
Subject: To the Inlands Wetland Agency and Chairman Gary Upton

Re: Extension of inland wetland

1. In general we find this proposal to be classic government overreach. The proposal which will extend Agency oversight to 90% (re New London Day) of the properties in town is ridiculous.
2. To require yet another bureaucratic level of review, and fees, to yard improvements such as a small garden shed almost two football fields away from some wetland/watercourse is definitely government intrusion.
3. This proposal will require almost every property owner in town to added oversight delay and increased cost for any seemingly innocuous property improvement, which, in our view is not warranted.
4. Not having looked into this process before, we now see the truly onerous process has been constructed - having to provide eleven hardcopies of any little project, also provide a PDF file, etc, etc, etc.
5. Further, we also do not see any difference in regulations between a developer building a subdivision, a lot owner building one house, or Harry Homeowner adding a small garden tool shed. These vastly different situations should obviously require different regulations. It should not matter if writing comprehensive regulations is difficult, one size regulations is a huge burden to Harry.
6. The article in The Day did not give sufficient reasons for the Agency's proposal to increase the distance in question fivefold. Simply to say this is for wetland/aquifer protection is not sufficient. We don't believe the Agency has any scientific reason for this massive increase in its power.
7. We are opposed to this proposal.

Rita & Robert Ambrico
8 Mountain View Road
East Lyme 06333